

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/434,965 | 11/05/1999 | ALAIN T. LUXEMBURG | ORT-1060 | 4589 |
| | 7590 01/30/2002 | | | |
| AUDLEY A CIAMPORCERO JR | | | EXAMINER | |
| ONE JOHNSON & JOHNSON PLAZA NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ 089337003 | | DECLO | DECLOUX | UX, AMY M |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1644 | Ŋ |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 01/30/2002 | : U |
| | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.

Applicang

09/434,965

Examiner

DeCloux, Amy

Art Unit

1644

Luxembourg et al.

| | The MAN INC DATE of the communication on an about the same of the communication of the commun | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | |
| Theref rejection | REPLY FILED <u>Jan 15, 2002</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Fore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final on under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for ince; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in its ince with 37 CFR 1.114. | | | |
| Compi | THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)] | | | |
| a) | The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | |
| b) | In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 706.07 (f)), the period for reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | |
| exte app set | ensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate ension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The propriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the illing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | |
| 1. 🗆 | A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with requisite fees. | | | | |
| 3. X | The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | | | |
| (a) \square they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See NOTE below); | | | | |
| (b) 🖾 they raise the issue of new matter. (See NOTE below); | | | | |
| (c) | issues for appeal; and/or | | | |
| (d) | they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | |
| _ | NOTE: <u>In claim 16, the newly added phrase "and wherein said substrate is not a lipid bilayer" consititutes new matter.</u> | | | |
| 4. 🗆 | Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | |
| 5. 🗆 | Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | |
| 6. 🗆 | The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: | | | |
| 7. 🗆 | The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | | | |
| 8. 🛛 | For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 16-18 | | | |
| 9. 🗆 | The proposed drawing correction filed on a) has b) has not been approved by the Examiner. | | | |
| 10. 🗆 | Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | | |
| 11. 🛭 | Other: Amendment format fails to follow 37 CFR 1.121, by not indicating the number of times the claim has been amended. DAVID SAUNDERS PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 182 (1945) | | | |